



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	filing i	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,457	11/16/	2001	David L. Brock	8491.7018	5179
21005	7590	01/14/2004	EXAMINER		
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.				PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
530 VIRGIN P.O. BOX 91				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	MA 01742-9	9133		3732	

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· 🛋			12					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/008,457	BROCK ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Pedro Philogene	3732						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, n by within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 a, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 N</u>	<u>lovember 2003</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-31 and 33-61 is/are pending in the	application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) 31 is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30 and 33-66</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-30 and 33-66</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requiremen	t.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			•					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the atta	iched Office Action or form P10-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pr 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of t	ts have been received ts have been received ority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) to of the certified copiestic priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had to priority under 35 Urst priority under 35 Urst priority under 35 Urst priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had the priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had the priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had the priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had the priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had the priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had the priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had the priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had the priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had the priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had the priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had the priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had the priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had the priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had the priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had the priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had the priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application had the priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application applicati	I. I in Application No Deen received in this National Stage Is not received. S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application ecification or in an Application Data Sheet has been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific	et.					
Attachment(s)	_							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 Noti	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) or:						

Application/Control Number: 10/008,457

Art Unit: 3732

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 7-11,15-18 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Niemeyer et al.. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-30, 33-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Niemeyer et al. (6,364,888).

With respect to claims 1, 7, 15, 28,33, 37, 40, 52 Niemeyer et al disclose a master station (700) comprising a lower positioner assembly; an upper positioner assembly supported over the lower positioner assembly and rotational relative to the lower positioner assembly to enable lateral side to side surgeon manipulation; as best seen in FIGS 1A, 6A-C and as set forth in column 9, lines 5-30, an arm assembly including an elongated arm member (702) having a hand assembly (706) at its distal end for engagement by the surgeon's hand, and a proximal end pivotally supported from the upper positioner assembly to enable an orthogonal forward and back surgeon manipulation in a direction substantially orthogonal to the lateral surgeon manipulation.

Page 3

as set forth in column 31, lines 11-67, column 32, lines 1-67; column 35, lines 1-67, column 36, lines 1-67; the assemblies being disposed remote from the slave station and to the side of the operator so that the arm member extends in a direction along at least a portion of the operator arms; as best seen in FIG.9 and as set forth in column 13, lines 48-57; one of the holder is adapted to receive a thumb and the other adapted to receive a forefinger; as set forth in column 9, lines 10-15; first, second and third pivot joints to provide motion actuation to the medical instrument; as best seen in Fig.11.

With respect to claims 2-6,8-14,16-27, 29,30,34-36, 38,39, 41-47, 53-61

Niemeyer et al disclose all the limitations, as best seen in FIGS. 1-30; and as set forth in column 9, lines 5-13, column 31, lines 11-67; column 32, lines 1-67; columns 35-36, lines 1-67.

With respect to claims 48-51, the method steps, as set forth, would have been inherently carried out in the operation of the device above.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 31 is allowed.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/008,457

Art Unit: 3732

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (703) 308-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Pedro Philogene January 09, 2004 PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER